Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/547,066	BRUGGEMANN,	MARIANNE
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Q. JANICE LI	1633	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Q. JANICE LI, PTO</u> .	(3)		
(2) John van Amsterdam, Appl. Rep.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 6 December 2010	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 103(a) of record.			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art of record under 103(a) of record.			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Q. JANICE LI/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that claim 3 but not claim 1 is allowable in view of the applicant's declaration, which had been made clear in the interview summary mailed 9/24/10. It is necessary to incoporate the limitation of claim 3 into claim 1 in order to allow the pending claims. The applicant's rep agreed to discuss the matter with the applicant. On 12/8/10, the applicant's rep. indicated that the applicant agreed to make the change by an Examiner's amendment..